

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

mN

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/716,932	11/18/2003	Francis R. Corrado	42P17159	42P17159 6401	
59796 INTEL CORPO	7590 06/27/200°	7	EXAMINER		
c/o INTELLEVATE, LLC			VERBRUGGE, KEVIN		
P.O. BOX 520 MINNEAPOL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2189		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/716,932	CORRADO ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Kevin Verbrugge	2189

. *	Kevin Verbrugge	2189	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 June 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a nd the corresponding amount of the fee, atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NC w);	TE below);	
(c)   They are not deemed to place the application in begarder  appeal; and/or  appeal → They are not deemed to place the application in begarder.	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	· -	ejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	):		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-29</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	at does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	e Lord	
•		Kevin Verbrugge ( Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2189	<i>-U</i>

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to the claims would require further consideration and search.